

Suppression of $\pi NN(1535)$ Coupling in the QCD Sum Rule¹

*A. Hosaka*²

*Numazu College of Technology
3600 Ooka, Numazu 410 Japan*

and

D. Jido and M. Oka

*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology
Meguro, Tokyo 152 Japan*

Abstract

The πNN^* and ηNN^* coupling constants are studied in the QCD sum rule ($N^* \equiv N(1535)$). We investigate the two point functions between the vacuum and a one meson state in the soft meson limit. The operator product expansion is explicitly performed up to terms of dimension eight. We find that $g_{\pi NN^*}$ vanishes to this order, while $g_{\eta NN^*}$ has a finite contribution. The vanishing of $g_{\pi NN^*}$ is a rigorous consequence in the chiral limit. The results of the QCD sum rule are compared with those of low energy effective models.

There is a considerable interest in baryon resonances to test effective models for hadrons. Much of the motivations are stimulated by the fact that detailed measurements of resonance properties will soon become available at facilities such as TJNAF (former CEBAF). In particular, expecting data on form factors of electromagnetic and strong interactions will be extremely useful in understanding details of hadron structure.

Among various baryon resonances, the negative parity baryons, $N(1535)$, $\Lambda(1670)$ and $\Sigma(1750)$ (in the following we denote those resonances by B^*), possess interesting properties. The most distinguished feature is their relatively large decay modes of $B^* \rightarrow \eta B$. Because of the smallness of the available phase space, this fact suggests that relatively large coupling constants of ηBB^* as compared to those of πBB^* [1].

One may also look at the problem in the following way. Using the experimental decay widths of the resonances, we obtain, for example, $g_{\pi NN^*} \sim 0.7$ and $g_{\eta NN^*} \sim 2$. These values are in fact much smaller than those in the NN sector: $g_{\pi NN} \sim 13$ and $g_{\eta NN} \leq 5$. Furthermore, the pion couples weaker than the eta in the NN^* sector, as opposed to the NN sector. Thus, one may ask why the coupling $g_{\pi NN^*}$ is suppressed so much as compared with other couplings.

Recently, Jido, Kodama and Oka studied the masses of the octet baryons of negative parity in the QCD sum rule [2]. An interesting observation is that mass differences between B and B^* are related to the strength of chiral symmetry breaking ($\sim \langle q\bar{q} \rangle$), suggesting that B and B^* are chiral partners of spontaneously broken chiral symmetry. Then it would be very interesting to see if the above relations among the coupling constants are derived from chiral symmetry or not.

¹Talk given by A.H. at YITP workshop "Recent developments in QCD and hadron physics", Kyoto, December (1996)

²e-mail address: hosaka@la.numazu-ct.ac.jp

Following Shiomi and Hatsuda [3], let us study the two point function between the vacuum and a one meson state in the soft meson limit ($q^\mu \rightarrow 0$):

$$\Pi^{m^\alpha}(p) = i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0 | T J_N(x; t) \bar{J}_N(0; t') | m^\alpha(q \rightarrow 0) \rangle \quad (1)$$

where the nucleon interpolating field J_N is defined by

$$J_N(x; t) = \varepsilon^{abc} [(u_a(x) C d_b(x)) \gamma_5 u_c(x) + t(u_a(x) C \gamma_5 d_b(x)) u_c(x)], \quad (2)$$

and m^α denotes either π ($\alpha = 1, 2, 3$) or η ($\alpha = 0$). When $t = -1$ the current (2) reduces to the Ioffe current which is supposed to couple strongly to the ground state nucleon [4]. As discussed in ref. [2], a large coupling strength of the current with negative parity states is achieved when $t = 0.8$. Therefore, for transition matrix elements between N and N^* , one of the currents in (1) is chosen to be the Ioffe current with $t = -1$ and the other one with $t = 0.8$.

The soft meson limit might not be a good approximation for matrix elements between N and N^* , and also for the coupling of eta. However, it would be always instructive to see how things look like in this limit.

Let us first look at the phenomenological expression of the correlation function to see how information of the negative parity nucleon can be extracted. We shall see this for the πNN^* coupling. Using the phenomenological πNN^* interaction lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\pi NN^*} = g_{\pi NN^*} \bar{N}^* \tau_a \pi^a N$, with the standard notations, πNN^* contributions in the $\Pi^{\pi^\alpha}(p)$ is given in the soft pion limit by

$$g_{\pi NN^*} \lambda_N \lambda_{N^*} \left[\frac{p^2 + m_N m_{N^*}}{(p^2 - m_N^2)(p^2 - m_{N^*}^2)} + \frac{\not{p}(m_N + m_{N^*})}{(p^2 - m_N^2)(p^2 - m_{N^*}^2)} \right] i \gamma_5 \tau_\alpha, \quad (3)$$

where λ_N and λ_{N^*} are defined by $\langle 0 | J_N | N \rangle = \lambda_N u_N$ and $\langle 0 | J_N | N^* \rangle = i \lambda_{N^*} \gamma_5 u_{N^*}$, respectively, with u_N and u_{N^*} being the Dirac spinor for N and N^* . We note that there are two terms in (3), which is contrasted with the πNN case, where there is only one term:

$$g_{\pi NN} \lambda_N^2 \frac{i \gamma_5 \tau_\alpha}{p^2 - m_N^2}. \quad (4)$$

In fact, this result is obtained from (3) by replacing m_{N^*} by $-m_N$ there. The minus sign appears here because the ordering of γ_5 and $\not{p}$ is reversed in the expressions for $g_{\pi NN}$ and $g_{\pi NN^*}$.

In the soft pion limit, Shiomi and Hatsuda studied the sum rule for the non-vanishing γ_5 term of (4) [3]. Recently, Birse and Krippa studied the coupling constant $g_{\pi NN}$ at a nonzero pion momentum q by looking at a term proportional to $\not{q}$ [5]. In our case for the πNN^* coupling constant, we shall study the term proportional to $\not{p} \gamma_5$ in the soft meson limit, which is expected to have a dominant contribution from the πNN^* coupling. In fact, there could be contributions from positive parity resonances, and a dominant part would be from the lowest resonance $N(1440)$. Such a term is, however, proportional to the mass difference $M_{N(1440)} - M_N$ unlike the sum as in the second term of (3). Thus the contribution from $N(1440)$ will be relatively suppressed as compared with that of $N(1535)$. Moreover since we choose the mixing parameter $t \sim 0.8$ such that the interpolating field (2) couples strongly with negative parity states, we expect least contamination from positive parity resonances. Similarly, the sum rule for the

ηNN^* coupling is constructed by replacing the isospin matrices τ^α in the πNN^* coupling by the unit matrix.

The correlation function is now computed by the operator product expansion (OPE) perturbatively in the deep Euclidean region. The $i\not{p}\gamma_5$ term takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi^{\text{OPE}}(p) &= i \int d^4x e^{ip \cdot x} \langle 0 | T J_N(x; s) \bar{J}_N(0; t) | m \rangle \\ &\equiv i\not{p}\gamma_5 \left[\mathcal{C}_4 \ln(-p^2) + \mathcal{C}_6 \frac{1}{p^2} + \mathcal{C}_8 \frac{1}{p^4} + \cdots \right] + i\gamma_5 \left[\mathcal{C}_3 p^2 \ln(-p^2) + \cdots \right],\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

where $\bar{J}_N(0; t \sim 0.8)$ is for the N^* state, while $J_N(x; s = -1)$ for the N state. The correlation function (5) has been calculated up to dimension 8, ignoring higher order terms in m_q and α_s . The results are

$$\mathcal{C}_4 \sim m_q \langle 0 | \bar{q} i \gamma_5 q | m \rangle \xrightarrow{m_q \rightarrow 0} 0, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_6 = -\frac{s-t}{4} \left[\langle \bar{d}d \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u | m \rangle + \langle \bar{u}u \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d | m \rangle \right], \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_8 &= -\frac{s-t}{144} \left[25(\langle \bar{d}gG \cdot \sigma d \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{u} i \gamma_5 u | m \rangle + \langle \bar{u}gG \cdot \sigma u \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{d} i \gamma_5 d | m \rangle) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 7(\langle \bar{d}d \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{u} i \gamma_5 gG \cdot \sigma u | m \rangle + \langle \bar{u}u \rangle \langle 0 | \bar{d} i \gamma_5 gG \cdot \sigma d | m \rangle) \right],\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle = \langle 0 | \bar{q}q | 0 \rangle$ and we have assumed the vacuum saturation for four-quark matrix elements. To proceed further, we use the soft meson theorem:

$$\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}(0) | m^\alpha(q) \rangle \xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f_m} \int d^3x \langle 0 | [iJ_{50}^\alpha(x), \mathcal{O}(0)] | 0 \rangle, \quad (9)$$

where $J_{5\mu}^i(x) = \bar{q}(x) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 (\lambda^i/2) q(x)$ and f_m is the decay constant of the meson m . Thus we obtain the following relations for the operators $X = 1$ or $G\sigma$:

$$\langle 0 | \bar{u} i \gamma_5 X u | m \rangle = -\frac{\alpha_m}{f_m} \langle \bar{u} X u \rangle, \quad (10)$$

$$\langle 0 | \bar{d} i \gamma_5 X d | m \rangle = \pm \frac{\alpha_m}{f_m} \langle \bar{d} X d \rangle, \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha_\pi = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $\alpha_\eta = 1/\sqrt{6}$. In (11), the plus sign is for the pion and the minus sign for the eta, because of the different isospin structure of the wave functions: $\pi^0 \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\bar{u}u - \bar{d}d)$, while $\eta \sim \eta_8 \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(\bar{u}u + \bar{d}d - 2\bar{s}s)$. For the eta matrix element, we have neglected a small mixing effect. We note that the $\bar{s}s$ component in η is irrelevant when α_s corrections are ignored, since the interpolating field (2) does not contain strange quarks. From (5) – (11), we find that the correlation function for the πNN^* coupling vanishes identically, while that for the ηNN^* coupling remains finite.

Vanishing of the $\not{p}\gamma_5$ term in the correlation function for πNN^* is, in fact, a general consequence of chiral symmetry. We might have applied the soft meson theorem to the correlation function (1) from the beginning. Using the transformation property $[Q_5^a, J_N] = i\gamma_5 \tau^a J_N$, we find

$$\Pi^{\pi^a}(p) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle 0 | T J_N(x) \bar{J}_N(0) | \pi^a(q) \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}f_\pi} \int d^4x e^{ipx} \langle 0 | [Q_5^a, T J_N(x) \bar{J}_N(0)] | 0 \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}f_\pi} \int d^4x e^{ipx} \{ \gamma_5, \langle 0 | T J_N(x) \bar{J}_N(0) | 0 \rangle \} \tau^a.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In the last expression, we have recovered the vacuum to vacuum transition, which has a Lorentz structure $\langle 0 | J_N(x) \bar{J}_N(0) | 0 \rangle \sim A \not{p} + B 1$. Thus in (12), the term of $\not{p} \gamma_5$ disappears. We emphasize that the vanishing $\not{p} \gamma_5$ term is a consequence of chiral symmetry. In order to achieve this result, the nucleon current has to transform appropriately under chiral transformations and also, the negative parity baryons are assumed to be produced by the same nucleon current J_N . These two requirements determine chiral properties of the positive and negative parity nucleons. As a consequence, the $\not{p} \gamma_5$ term, which in general should exist in the two point function, is shown to disappear.

What are then implications of the vanishing matrix element of $\not{p} \gamma_5$ term? By relating this with the sum rule, it is implied that the coherent sum over all coupling constants of the pion between various baryon excitations will vanish. One would, in fact, make a stronger statement by using properties of analytic functions. Namely, if an analytic function vanishes in a certain domain, it vanishes identically for whole analytic region. In the spirit of the QCD sum rule, we have found that the $\not{p} \gamma_5$ term of the correlation function vanishes in the deep Euclidean region, which implies the identically vanishing $\not{p} \gamma_5$ term for whole momentum space. There might be an exceptional case, if there are two (delta-function like) terms having equal strengths but with opposite signs, which sum up to be zero. In fact, the low-lying negative parity nucleons do look like that; there are two neighboring states of $N(1535)$ and $N(1650)$. Even in this case, one would say that a state which is coupled by the current J_N is a superposition of two (or more) degenerate states, and couplings with the pion is coherently added up and cancel.

For the eta case, we do not find a relation similar to (12), because the current J_N is not a good eigen state of the U(1) axial charge. Thus we find a finite contribution to the correlation function, which in turn is used in the sum rule analysis to extract a coupling constant $g_{\eta NN^*}$. We have performed such a sum rule analysis, but the result turned out to be strongly parameter dependent. We have found that the coupling constant varies within $5 \lesssim g_{\eta NN^*} \lesssim 20$, which is too large as compared with experimental value $g_{\eta NN^*} \sim 2$.

Now, let us compare the above results with those of low energy effective models. We briefly discuss the nonrelativistic quark model, the large- N_c method and an effective chiral lagrangian approach.

In the nonrelativistic quark model, the negative parity nucleon is formed by putting one of the valence quarks in the p ($l = 1$) orbit [6]. Therefore, there are two independent states for $J^P = 1/2^-$: $|1\rangle = [l = 1, S = 1/2]^{J=1/2}$ and $|2\rangle = [l = 1, S = 3/2]^{J=1/2}$, where S is the total intrinsic spin of the three quarks. The physical state for $N(1535)$ is a linear combination of these two states. The coupling constants are the matrix elements of the operators, $\mathcal{O}_\pi^\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{\sigma}(i) \cdot \vec{\nabla}(i) \tau^\alpha$ for the πNN^* and $\mathcal{O}_\eta = \sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{\sigma}(i) \cdot \vec{\nabla}(i)$ for the ηNN^* . The relative phase of the two states $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ are then determined by the sign of the tensor force. In the Isgur-Karl model, it is given by the one gluon exchange potential, while in a more sophisticated model, there is a significant contribution from the one pion exchange potential also [7]. In both cases the phase is given such that the interference acts destructively for πNN^* while constructively

for ηNN^* . This explains the relatively suppressed $g_{\pi NN^*}$.

The suppression of $g_{\pi NN^*}$ is also observed in the large- N_c limit. Assuming that the lowest baryon state develops the hedgehog intrinsic state with $K = J + I = 0$ (here the hedgehog has negative parity as $J^P = 1/2^-$), it is possible to show that the matrix element for the $g_{\pi NN^*}$ coupling $\langle N | \mathcal{O}_\pi^a | N^* \rangle$ is of higher order in $1/N_c$ as compared with that of $\langle N | \mathcal{O}_\eta | N^* \rangle$. An interesting observation here is that the negative parity hedgehog of $K = 0$, $|H^- \rangle$, is simply given by $|H^- \rangle = \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} |H^+ \rangle$. This is nothing but the non-relativistic reduction of $\gamma_5 |H^+ \rangle$, suggesting that the negative parity hedgehog $|H^- \rangle$ would be a chiral partner of the ground state hedgehog $|H^+ \rangle$ in the large- N_c limit. Furthermore, it is also possible to decompose the nucleon state projected out from $|H^- \rangle$ and show that the wave function has the structure $\sim |1 \rangle - |2 \rangle$ when $N_c = 3$, where $|1 \rangle$ and $|2 \rangle$ are the two independent states of the non-relativistic quark model. Here, there is a strong similarity between the non-relativistic quark model and the large- N_c method.

Again turning to the matrix element for $g_{\pi NN^*}$ and $g_{\eta NN^*}$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\pi NN^*} &\sim \langle N | \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} \tau^a | N^* \rangle \sim \langle N | \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} \tau^a \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} | N \rangle \sim \langle N | \tau^a | N \rangle, \\ g_{\eta NN^*} &\sim \langle N | \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} | N^* \rangle \sim \langle N | \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \hat{r} | N \rangle \sim \langle N | 1 | N^* \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

In $g_{\pi NN^*}$, the operator τ^a in the last expression has the rank $I = 1$, $J = 0$, while in the second equation $I = J = 0$. The suppression of the $g_{\pi NN^*}$ coupling in the $1/N_c$ expansion is then a consequence of the familiar $I = J$ rule for large- N_c baryons [8].

One may wonder if such a suppression of $g_{\pi NN^*}$ could be explained in some way by spontaneously broken chiral symmetry. From chiral symmetry point of view, it seems natural to put N and N^* in the same multiplet of chiral partner (or parity doublet). There have been several attempts to treat positive and negative parity baryons in this point of view.

DeTar and Kunihiro considered the parity doublet nucleons in the linear sigma model of $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ [9]. In addition to the standard chiral invariant interaction terms, they introduced a chiral invariant mass term between the positive and negative parity baryons. The strength m_0 for the non-standard mass term reduces to the mass of the would-be chiral doublet nucleons when the chiral symmetry restores. In the spontaneously broken phase, the mass splitting is proportional to the non-zero value of the sigma condensate. In this model, it has been shown that $g_{\pi NN^*}$ is proportional to m_0 to the leading order in m_0 . Therefore, if $m_0 = 0$, the coupling constant $g_{\pi NN^*}$ vanishes. This is a rigorous consequence from chiral symmetry. The question, is therefore, whether the non-standard mass term exists or not in the real world. This can be examined by looking at baryon masses in the chiral symmetric phase. In the QCD sum rule study [2], the masses of N and N^* get degenerate in the chiral symmetric phase with very small (possibly zero) masses. This implies a small (and possibly vanishing) $m_0 \approx 0$ and so a small $g_{\pi NN^*}$.

The formulation of DeTar and Kunihiro can be extended to the chiral $U(1) \times SU(2)$ model, where the eta is introduced as a chiral field of the $U(1)$ group. In this extension, it is possible to reproduce the relation among the coupling constants: $g_{\pi NN} \gg g_{\eta NN}$, while $g_{\pi NN^*} \ll g_{\eta NN^*}$.

In summary, we have studied the πNN^* and ηNN^* coupling constant in the QCD sum rule. The two point correlation function has been calculated in the soft meson limit and up

to dimension eight in the OPE. To this order $g_{\pi NN^*}$ is of order of $\mathcal{O}(m_q, \alpha_S)$ and therefore is strongly suppressed. The results in the QCD sum rule are consistent with the predictions of the low energy effective models such as the nonrelativistic quark model and of the large- N_c method. More interestingly, the suppression of $g_{\pi NN^*}$ is also supported by spontaneously broken chiral symmetry by assuming the presence of the parity doublet baryons.

References

- [1] Particle Data Group, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996) 1
- [2] D. Jido, N. Kodama and M. Oka, Phys. Rev. D54 (1996) 4532; D. Jido and M. Oka, TIT-preprint “QCD sum rule for $\frac{1}{2}^-$ Baryons”, hep-ph/9611322.
- [3] H. Shiomi and T. Hatsuda, Nucl. Phys. A594 (1995) 294
- [4] B. L. Ioffe, Nucl. Phys. B188 (1981) 317, (E) B191 (1981) 591.
- [5] M. Birse and B. Krippa, Phys. Lett. B373 (1996) 9.
- [6] N. Isgur and G. Karl, Phys. Rev. D18 (1978) 4187; R. Koniuk and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D21 (1980) 1868.
- [7] M. Arima, K. Shimizu and K. Yazaki, Nucl. Phys. A543 (1992) 613
- [8] M. P. Mattis and M. Mukerjee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 1344. M. P. Mattis, Phys. Rev. D39 (1989) 994.
- [9] C. DeTar and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rev. D39 (1989) 2805.